Superposing pure quantum states with partial prior information

Shruti Dogra*
Optics and Quantum Information Group, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India and
Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

George Thomas† and Sibasish Ghosh‡
Optics and Quantum Information Group, The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, HBNI, CIT Campus, Taramani, Chennai 600113, India

Dieter Suter§
Fakultät Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund, D-44221 Dortmund, Germany

arXiv:1702.02418v1 [quant-ph] 8 Feb 2017

The principle of superposition is an intriguing feature of Quantum Mechanics, which is regularly exploited at various instances. A recent work [PRL **116**, 110403 (2016)] shows that the fundamentals of Quantum Mechanics restrict the superposition of two arbitrary pure states of a quantum system, even though it is possible to superpose two quantum states with partial prior knowledge. The prior knowledge imposes geometrical constraints on the choice of input pure states. We discuss an experimentally feasible protocol to superpose multiple pure states of a d dimensional quantum system and carry out an explicit experimental realization to superpose two single-qubit pure states on a two-qubit NMR quantum information processor.

PACS numbers: 03.67.Lx, 03.67.Ac

Introduction: Postulates of Quantum Theory seems to have allowed superposition of an arbitrary pair of pure states of a quantum system unless there is any superselection rule [1, 2]. But recent study shows that there exists no protocol allowed by Quantum Mechanics to create superposition of a completely unknown pair of pure quantum states with specified coefficients [3–5]. Quantum states which are equivalent to each other upto a global phase, represent the same physical state ($|\Psi\rangle \equiv e^{\iota\gamma}|\Psi\rangle$). Therefore the addition of unknown quantum states with varying global phases, may develop a relative phase between these states, thus changing the resultant superposed state. However some partial prior knowledge about the states can be used to achieve the restricted type of superposition as suggested in a recent work [3]. As per this protocol, two unknown quantum states are superposed, each of which has a fixed non-zero overlap with a known referential pure state.

Experimental implementation of such protocol is interesting, not only as a quantum computational task, but also as a fundamental principle. There has always been a growing interest for more feasible, and robust experimental quantum computation models [6–9]. In this regard, attempts have been made using different experimental systems such as photons [10], nuclear spins [11], and super-conducting qubits [12]. Implementation using photonic qubits [10], is based on a system of two photons, wherein controlled-SWAP implementation is considered to be a challenge. Therefore an effective Controlled-SWAP operation is implemented which includes post-selection, and is a non-unitary operation. Another attempt has been made using three nuclear spins, wherein Controlled-Swap gate is implemented via numerically optimized pulses while the projection operator implementations are avoided by theoretically performing the partial trace operations [11]. An approximate quantum adder is realized using superconducting qubits on an IBM quantum computer [12]. This scheme implements an optimal quantum circuit obtained using genetic algorithm techniques, but its operation is limited to a specific region of the Hilbert space of two-qubits. Present work proposes a fully experimentally implementable protocol to perform the desired superpositions of pure states of a quantum system. Moreover, this is a two-qubit based scheme to superpose two single-qubit states, in contrary to the existing three-qubit based implementation [11]. The extension of this scheme to superpose n number of higher-dimensional quantum states is also discussed. We also analyse the enhancement in success probabilities in this scheme for different prior informations.

Theoretical scheme: Beginning with an explicit analysis for the superposition of two single-qubit pure states, we consider a system of two coupled spin 1/2 particles (denoted here as A and X) under the action of a Hamiltonian

$$H = -\Omega_A A_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes \mathbb{I}_X - \Omega_X \mathbb{I}_A \otimes X_{\mathcal{Z}} + J A_{\mathcal{Z}} \otimes X_{\mathcal{Z}}, \quad (1)$$

where Ω_A, Ω_X correspond to resonance frequencies of the first and second spins respectively, and J represents the scalar coupling constant. $A_{\mathcal{Z}}$ and $X_{\mathcal{Z}}$ are the $\mathcal{Z}$ components of angular momenta for spins A and X respectively. $|0\rangle_A, |1\rangle_A$ ($|0\rangle_X, |1\rangle_X$) are the eigen vectors of $A_{\mathcal{Z}}$ ($X_{\mathcal{Z}}$) corresponding to eigenvalues $+1, -1$ respectively. The single qubit pure states of our system are

encoded in the eigenbasis $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle, |10\rangle, |11\rangle\}$ of the Hamiltonian H. We use the eigenstates $|00\rangle$, $|01\rangle$ of H to store the single-qubit input state of the system, $|\psi_1\rangle = c_{00}|0\rangle + c_{01}|1\rangle$, where $|c_{00}|^2 + |c_{01}|^2 = 1$, while remaining two levels are used to store the input state of the system, $|\psi_2\rangle = c_{10}|0\rangle + c_{11}|1\rangle$, where $|c_{10}|^2 + |c_{11}|^2 = 1$. The state of the two-qubit system $(A+X)$ is represented here as (assuming $|a|^2 + |b|^2 = 1$, where a and b are the weights for superposition),

$$a|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + b|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle. \quad (2)$$

Thus, we use a system of two-qubits to encode the single qubit states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$. In Eq. (2), the first qubit is considered as ancilla, and the second qubit is considered as system-qubit. Assuming the global phases $e^{\iota\gamma_1}$ and $e^{\iota\gamma_2}$ with states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ respectively, a more general state of this two-qubit system may be taken as,

$$|\Psi\rangle' \equiv e^{\iota\gamma_1} a|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + e^{\iota\gamma_2} b|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle. \quad (3)$$

Given any fixed state $|\chi\rangle$ of the system qubit, prior knowledge of the non-zero overlaps $\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle$ and $\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle$ is exploited to find the phases: $e^{\iota\gamma_1} = \frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}$ and $e^{\iota\gamma_2} = \frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}$. Using this information, we construct a phase gate $(e^{\iota\theta_Z(A_Z\otimes\mathbb{I}_X)})$, that implements a $z-$rotation on the first qubit by angle $\theta_Z = \frac{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}{2}$, leading to the state,

$$|\Psi\rangle' \equiv e^{-\iota\theta_Z} e^{\iota\gamma_1} a|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + e^{\iota\theta_Z} e^{\iota\gamma_2} b|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle. \quad (4)$$

Thus the global phases of the individual single-qubit states are modified, and appears as a global phase of the two-qubit state. *Supplementary Material* [13] contains an alternative protocol to encode the states $|\psi_1\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle$ and to get rid of the global phases $e^{\iota\gamma_1}$, $e^{\iota\gamma_2}$ respectively. Further, a Hadamard gate implementation on the first-qubit in Eq. (4) leads to the state (ignoring the global phase $e^{\iota\frac{\gamma_1+\gamma_2}{2}}$),

$$|\Psi\rangle'' \equiv \frac{|0\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}(a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle) + \frac{|1\rangle}{\sqrt{2}}(a|\psi_1\rangle - b|\psi_2\rangle). \quad (5)$$

Depending upon the state of the first qubit, one can choose between the sum or difference of the single-qubit states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$: a measurement on the first qubit in the basis $\{|0\rangle, |1\rangle\}$ gives rise to the state, $a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle$ of the second qubit (in case of outcome $|0\rangle$) which is the desired superposed state, $N_\psi(a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle)$ (N_ψ being the normalization constant), obtained with a success probability $N_\psi^2/2$. Thus, with the help of only one ancillary qubit, we are able to superpose two single-qubit states.

Experimental implementation: The NMR pulse sequence to carry out weighted superposition of two single-qubit states is shown in Fig. 1, where the first channel corresponds to the ancillary-qubit A and the second channel corresponds to the system qubit X (here



FIG. 1. NMR pulse sequence to obtain a superposition of two single-qubit states starting with the pseudo-pure state $|00\rangle$. Two channels show the operations on ancilla (^1H) and system qubits (^{13}C) respectively. Pulse sequence is divided into various steps, which are numbered as (i), (ii), (iii) and (iv) in the end. Radio-frequency pulses are shown as rectangles, with respective angles of rotations mentioned at the top and the axes of rotations specified at the bottom. The arbitrary axes of rotations are defined as: $\hat{l}_0 = \cos(\frac{3\pi}{2}+\phi_0)\hat{x} + \sin(\frac{3\pi}{2}+\phi_0)\hat{y}$, $\hat{l}'_0 = \cos(\phi_0)\hat{x} + \sin(\phi_0)\hat{y}$, $\hat{l}_1 = \cos(\pi+\phi_1)\hat{x} + \sin(\pi+\phi_1)\hat{y}$, and $\hat{l}'_1 = \cos(\frac{\pi}{2}+\phi_1)\hat{x} + \sin(\frac{\pi}{2}+\phi_1)\hat{y}$. At the end of the sequence, a single-qubit measurement is performed on the system qubit.

labeled as ^1H and ^{13}C respectively), initialized in state $|00\rangle$. A single qubit rotation by angle 2δ about $y-$axis is applied on the ancillary qubit, which gives rise to the state $a|00\rangle + b|10\rangle$ (with $a = \cos\delta$ and $b = \sin\delta$). This is followed by two two-qubit controlled operations, that encode second qubit with state $|\psi_1\rangle$, when first qubit is in state $|0\rangle$ and with state $|\psi_2\rangle$ when first qubit is in state $|1\rangle$. Each controlled-operation is achieved by a controlled-rotation of second-qubit by angle $(\theta_j)_{n_j}$ where state of the first qubit, $|j\rangle$ ($j \in \{0,1\}$) is the control. The axis of rotation, $\hat{n}_j = \cos(\phi_j)\hat{y} + \sin(\phi_j)\hat{x}$. Possible global phases of states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ are taken care of by applying a $z-$pulse of angle ($\Delta = \frac{\gamma_1-\gamma_2}{2}$) on the first qubit. This is followed by pseudo-Hadamard gate implementation on the first qubit. A partial read out of the system qubit leads to the expected superposed state. In all the experiments, the referential state ($|\chi\rangle$) is chosen as $|0\rangle$.

As discussed in the theoretical scheme, measurement is composed of a projective measurement on the first qubit ($|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes \mathbb{I}_{2X2}$), followed by a partial-trace operation that retains the state of the second qubit. Thus measurement here in fact selects the subspace spanned by eigenvectors $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle\}$ of H. Experimentally, this is realized by partial quantum state tomography of the system qubit (^{13}C). Here we do this by observing NMR transition between levels $|00\rangle$ and $|01\rangle$ (of ^{13}C). The desired single-qubit density operator is obtained by a set of two operations: (i) direct readout, and (ii) $G_z(\frac{\pi}{2})^2_y$ (gradient operation followed by a 90^0 pulse about $y-$axis on the second qubit). The resultant single-qubit density operator is un-normalized, which is expected as per the protocol. Normalization constant for the desired part of the density operator is obtained experimentally by measur-

ing the relative populations. This is achieved by applying a gradient to dephase the coherences, followed by a spin-selective 90^0 pulse on the first qubit ($G_z(\frac{\pi}{2})^1_y$). A readout of the resultant NMR spectrum of the first-qubit provides the normalization constant for the desired subspace. This normalization factor is then used to completely characterize the final state density operator of the superposed state.

Pulse sequence shown in Fig. 1 is implemented experimentally on a sample consisting of ^{13}C labeled Chloroform in deutrated Acetone. The experiments are performed on 500 MHz Bruker Avance II NMR spectrometer mounted with QXI probehead. All the pulses used are high power, short duration RF pulses on ^1H and ^{13}C channels respectively. Both ^1H and ^{13}C spins in this doubly rotating frame are chosen to be on-resonance. Spin-spin relaxation times (T_2^*) of ^1H and ^{13}C spins are estimated to be 540ms and 170ms respectively. Nuclear spin-systems at thermal equilibrium constitute a mixed state. The system is thus initialized in a pseudo-pure state, $|00\rangle$, which is obtained by spatial averaging technique [14] with a fidelity of 0.999. Starting from this pseudo-pure state, various pairs of single-qubit states ($|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$) are encoded on a two-qubit system (as described earlier).

In order to ensure the accuracy of this experimental implementation, two-qubit density operators are tomographed at the end of step (ii) and (iv) of the pulse sequence (Fig. 1), thus obtaining the state after encoding ($\rho_{exp}^{(ii)}$) and the state before the measurement ($\rho_{exp}^{(iv)}$) respectively. A two-qubit state is completely constructed with a set of four operations: $\{\mathbb{III}, \mathbb{IX}, \mathbb{IY}, \mathbb{XX}\}$, where $\mathbb{X}(\mathbb{Y})$ refers to spin-selective 90^0 pulse along $x(y)$-axis. The fidelity between the theoretically expected (ρ_t) and the experimentally obtained (ρ_e) states are measured using the following expression,

$$\mathcal{F} = Tr(\rho_e^\dagger.\rho_t)/\sqrt{Tr(\rho_e^\dagger.\rho_e)Tr(\rho_t^\dagger.\rho_t)}. \qquad (6)$$

The experimentally superposed state is obtained by tomography of the subspace spanned by eigenvectors $\{|00\rangle, |01\rangle\}$. The results of various experiments are given in Table I, where columns 2 and 3 show the single qubit pure states to be superposed, and column 5 contains the fidelity ($\mathcal{F}$) with which experimentally superposed states match with the theoretically expected ones. In datasets numbered 1-4 of Table I, we have, $|\psi_1\rangle = |0\rangle$, and $|\psi_2\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|0\rangle + e^{\iota\phi_2}|1\rangle)$, with $\phi_2 \in \{0, \frac{\pi}{4}, \frac{\pi}{2}, \pi\}$. Each of these pairs corresponds to the same two conic-sections as per their Bloch sphere representations. Similarly, datasets numbered 5 and 6 of the Table I show the superposition between two pairs of states from same respective conic-sections. A detailed tomographic analysis corresponding to dataset numbered 3 (Table I) is shown in Fig. 2. We also perform the superposition of the same constituent states with different weights, as given in datasets numbered 5, 7 and 8 of Table I. For completeness, the experiments are performed with assumed global phases of the input states. Global phase of a quantum state is not physically realizable. In the set of experiments described in datasets 9 and 10 of Table I, global phases are intentionally introduced while encoding the states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$. In Fig. 1, the pulse of angle 2δ is applied about an axis '$\hat{l}$' that is aligned with $y-$axis by an angle $\pi + \gamma_2$. The encoded state is thus of the form, $a|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + e^{\iota\gamma_2}b|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle$. Experiments are performed for two pairs of states shown in datasets numbered 9 and 10 in Table I. In both of these cases, $\gamma_2 = 120^0$ and rest of the parameters are same as that of set of states numbered 5 and 6 in Table I. Now compare the datasets 5 with 9 and 6 with 10 (Table I). As expected, presence or absence of the global phase does not affect the final superposed state. Efficacy of this experimental scheme does not directly depend upon the values of the overlaps ($\langle\chi|\psi_i\rangle$). This is signified by the dataset 11 of Table I, where $|\psi_2\rangle$ is very close to $|\chi^\perp\rangle$ (orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$). Table I shows that even if we choose the pair of input states ($|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle$) outside the set $\{(|\psi_1\rangle, |\psi_2\rangle) : |\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle| = \text{constant}, |\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle| = \text{constant}\}$, still the aforesaid method of superposition does give rise to the expected superposed state $a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle$ with quite high accuracy.

TABLE I. Various experimental outcomes.

S.No.	Input state, $\|\psi_1\rangle$	Input state, $\|\psi_2\rangle$	$a:b$	$\mathcal{F}$
1	$\|0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0\rangle + \|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.996
2	$\|0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota\pi}{4}}\|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.995
3	$\|0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota\pi}{2}}\|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.997
4	$\|0\rangle$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\|0\rangle + e^{\iota\pi}\|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.997
5	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + \sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + \|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.998
6	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota\pi}{4}}\sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota 2\pi}{3}}\|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.974
7	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + \sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + \|1\rangle)$	2 : 1	0.999
8	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + \sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{1}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + \|1\rangle)$	3 : 1	0.999
9	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + \sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{e^{\frac{2\pi\iota}{3}}}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + \|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.999
10	$\frac{1}{2}(\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota\pi}{4}}\sqrt{3}\|1\rangle)$	$\frac{e^{\frac{2\pi\iota}{3}}}{2}(\sqrt{3}\|0\rangle + e^{\frac{\iota 2\pi}{3}}\|1\rangle)$	1 : 1	0.981
11	$\|0\rangle$	$\sin\frac{\pi}{36}\|0\rangle + \cos\frac{\pi}{36}\|1\rangle$	1 : 1	0.988

Superposition of multiple qudits: The aforesaid procedure is extended to superpose arbitrary pure states of



FIG. 2. (Colour online) (A) and (B) show the theoretical input states from dataset 3 of Table I, part (C) contains the two-qubit state after encoding ($\rho_{exp}^{(ii)}$), (D) represents the state obtained at the end of step (iv) of the pulse sequence ($\rho_{exp}^{(iv)}$), parts (E) and (F) show the final experimentally obtained (ρ_{exp}) and theoretically expected (ρ_{th}) single-qubit superposed states respectively.

n number of qudits (d-dimensional quantum system). Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ be the desired coefficients for creating superposition of n number of states $|\Psi_1\rangle_d$, $|\Psi_2\rangle_d$, $\ldots$ $|\Psi_n\rangle_d$ of the d-dimensional system. This requires a hybrid $n \times d-$dimensional qu$n$it-qudit system, where the qu$n$it ($n-$dimensional quantum system) acts as an ancilla (as before) and the qudit acts as the system. Consider now a d-dimensional referential state $|\chi\rangle_d$, whose non-zero overlaps, $|\langle\chi|\Psi_j\rangle_d|^2 = c_j$, ($j \in \{1, 2, \ldots n\}$) are known. Following the same protocol as before, states of each of n individual qudits are encoded on the $n \times d$ number of basis vectors of the hybrid qu$n$it-qudit system: $|j0\rangle$, $|j1\rangle$, $|j2\rangle, \ldots |j(d-1)\rangle$ where $j \in \{0, 1, \ldots n-1\}$. The global phase of the constituent states are taken care of by using the information of overlaps of respective constituent states with the referential state [13]. This is then followed by Fourier transformation of the qu$n$it, which is in fact the generalization of the Hadamard operation in higher-dimensions. The resultant state, which is a generalization of two-qubit state in Eq. (5), is given as,

$$\frac{1}{N\sqrt{n}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left(|j\rangle_n \otimes \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left(f^{j(k-1)} a_k |\Psi_k\rangle_d \right) \right), \qquad (7)$$

where $f = e^{\frac{2\pi\iota}{n}}$, is the n^{th} root of unity and N is the normalization constant. An arbitrary superposition of n pure states of a qudit is then obtained by the projective measurement $|0\rangle_n\langle 0|_n \otimes \mathbb{I}_{d\times d}$ subsequently tracing out the state of first party (qu$n$it). The final state is a superposition of n number of d-dimensional states, which alongwith the information of global phases of the constituent (n-qudits) states is given as [13],

$$|\Psi\rangle = \frac{N_\Psi}{N\sqrt{n}} \sum_{k=1}^{n} a_k \left(\prod_{(j\neq k, j=1)}^{n} \frac{\langle\chi|\Psi_j\rangle_d}{\sqrt{c_j}} \right) |\Psi_k\rangle_d, \qquad (8)$$

where N_Ψ is a constant that normalizes the unnormalized state obtained after the projective measurement. The superposed state $|\Psi\rangle$ (Eq. (8)) is obtained with a success probability,

$$P = \frac{N_\Psi^2}{N^2 n} = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{n} c_j}{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^2 c_j} \frac{N_\Psi^2}{n}. \qquad (9)$$

Discussion: The superposed state of two qubits obtained here with a success probability, $P_2 = N_\psi^2 c_1 c_2 / [2(a^2 c_1 + b^2 c_2)]$ (from Eq. (9), taking $a_1 = a$ and $a_2 = b$) is compared with the success probability of the existing superposition protocol: $P_3 = N_\psi^2 c_1 c_2/(c_1 + c_2)$ [3, 11] for the case of superposition of two single-qubits. For comparison of these two protocols, we take the ratio of their respective success probabilities [13],

$$r_p = \frac{P_2}{P_3} = \frac{r_c + 1}{2(1 + |b|^2(r_c - 1))}, \qquad (10)$$

where $r_c = \frac{c_2}{c_1} \in (0, \infty)$, $|a|^2, |b|^2 \in (0, 1)$, and so $r_p \in (0, \infty)$. It is interesting to note that $P_2 > P_3$ in the range $0.5 < |b|^2 < 1$ (when $0 < r_c < 1$) and in the range $0 < |b|^2 < 0.5$ (when $1 < r_c < \infty$). Two such pairs of states satisfying these criteria are shown in datasets 7 and 8 of Table I. In both of these datasets, $r_c = \sqrt{3}$, while the values of $|b|^2$ are chosen as 0.2 and 0.1 respectively.

In general, there is an interplay between the success probability with which the desired superposed state is obtained and the amount of prior information of the constituent states. We impose certain constraints on the constituent states and observe its impact on the success probabilities. Re-considering the problem of superposition of two single qubit states having fixed non-zero overlaps, $|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|^2 = c_1$ and $|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|^2 = c_2$ with the referential single-qubit state $|\chi\rangle$. Thus one can obtain the overlaps of the constituent states with $|\chi^\perp\rangle$ (single-qubit state orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$). We have, $|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle|^2 = c_1^\perp = 1 - c_1$ and $|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle|^2 = c_2^\perp = 1 - c_2$. Therefore one can use the overlap of the input states with $|\chi\rangle$ and $|\chi^\perp\rangle$ to increase the success probability of superposition for certain class of input states [13]. We mainly study two types of constraints; (i) Both $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ lie in the same longitudinal plane of the Bloch sphere. The desired superposed

state is obtained with success probability,

$$P^{tot} = N_\psi^2 \left(\frac{c_1 c_2}{c_1 + c_2} + \frac{c_1^\perp c_2^\perp}{c_1^\perp + c_2^\perp} \right) \tag{11}$$

For $c_1 = c_2^\perp$, the success probability, $P^{tot} = 2P_3$, becomes double to that of the ordinary case. (*ii*) Both the states belong to same transverse plane on the Bloch sphere. In this case, we have $c_1 = c_2 = c$ (say), which implies $c_1^\perp = c_2^\perp = c^\perp$ (say). Further, assuming both the states occupying diametrically opposite locations on the Bloch sphere, the azimuthal angles of the two states may be considered as ϕ and $\pi + \phi$. The total success probability obtained under these conditions is given by:

$$P^{tot} = N_\psi^2 \left(\frac{c}{2} + \frac{c^\perp}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} N_\psi^2. \tag{12}$$

In case both the states lie in the equatorial plane, i.e. arbitrary pair of orthogonal input states, the success probability is 1/2.

Conclusions: We experimentally create superposition of single-qubit states in the defined framework, covering all possible aspects, i.e. (i) creation of various single-qubit states and obtaining their superposition, (ii) superposition with arbitrary weights, and (iii) superposition of single-qubit states in the presence of assumed global phases. All the experimental results are obtained with good fidelities. This protocol is also extended for the superposition of multiple states of a qudit. Moreover special cases are discussed where one can obtain the desired superposed state with an enhanced value of the success probability.

Acknowledgements: SD acknowledges the financial support by The Institute of Mathematical Sciences Chennai India, Technische Universität Dortmund Germany, and support by the International Collaborative Research Centre TRR 160 "Coherent manipulation of interacting spin excitations in tailored semiconductors," funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft. All the experiments were performed in NMR Lab, Technische Universität Dortmund Germany. SG, GT, and SD would like to thank Somshubhro Bandyopadhyay, Manik Banik, Prathik Cherian J., Guruprasad Kar, Samir Kunkri, and Ramij Rahaman for useful discussions.

* shrutidogra.iiserm@gmail.com
† georget@imsc.res.in
‡ sibasish@imsc.res.in
§ Dieter.Suter@tu-dortmund.de

[1] P. A. M. DIRAC, *The principles of Quantum Mechanics* (The Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1930).
[2] N. D. H. Dass, ArXiv e-prints, (2013), arXiv:1311.4275 [quant-ph].
[3] M. Oszmaniec, A. Grudka, M. Horodecki, and A. Wójcik, Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 110403 (2016).
[4] U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, M. Sanz, L. Lamata, and E. Solano, Scientific Reports **5**, 11983 (2015).
[5] W. K. Wootters and W. H. Zurek, Nature **299**, 802 (1982).
[6] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum Computation and Quantum Information*, quantum information,decoherence,quantum computation, cryptography (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2000).
[7] J. Stolze and D. Suter, *Quantum Computing: A Short Course from Theory to Experiment* (John Wiley & Sons, 2004).
[8] J. Stolze and D. Suter, *Quantum Computing, Revised and Enlarged* (Wiley, 2008).
[9] T. D. Ladd, F. Jelezko, R. Laflamme, Y. Nakamura, C. Monroe, and J. L. OBrien, Nature **464**, 45 (2010).
[10] X.-M. Hu, M.-J. Hu, J.-S. Chen, B.-H. Liu, Y.-F. Huang, C.-F. Li, G.-C. Guo, and Y.-S. Zhang, Phys. Rev. A **94**, 033844 (2016).
[11] K. Li, G. Long, H. Katiyar, T. Xin, G. Feng, D. Lu, and R. Laflamme, ArXiv e-prints, (2016), arXiv:1608.04349 [quant-ph].
[12] R. Li, U. Alvarez-Rodriguez, L. Lamata, and E. Solano, ArXiv e-prints, (2016), arXiv:1611.07851 [quant-ph].
[13] See *Supplementary Material*.
[14] D. G. Cory, M. D. Price, and T. F. Havel, Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena **120**, 82 (1998).

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIAL

(A) Encoding scheme

Let us discuss the case of superposition of n number of pure states of a qudit. Considering a d-dimensional referential state $|\chi\rangle_d$, whose overlap (magnitude) with each of the constituent state is known. Therefore, assuming $|\langle\chi|\Psi_j\rangle_d|^2 = c_j$, where $j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. Let $a_1, a_2, \ldots a_n$ be the desired weights for creating superposition of d-dimensional states $|\Psi_1\rangle_d$, $|\Psi_2\rangle_d$, $\ldots$ $|\Psi_n\rangle_d$ respectively. We begin with the initial state,

$$\frac{1}{N}(a_1'|0\rangle_n + a_2'|1\rangle_n + \ldots + a_n'|n-1\rangle_n) \otimes |\Psi_1\rangle_d \otimes \ldots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle_d, \tag{S.1}$$

where N is the normalization factor, which is equal to $\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j'^2}$. This state belongs to a $n \times (d)^n$ dimensional Hilbert space, where the primed coefficients are,

$$a_k' = \frac{a_k}{\prod_{(j\neq k, j=1)}^{n} |\langle\chi|\Psi_j\rangle_d|} = \frac{a_k}{\sqrt{\prod_{(j\neq k, j=1)}^{n} c_j}}. \tag{S.2}$$

This initial state is then made to undergo a series of controlled-swap operations, $\mathcal{CS}_{2,3}^1\ \mathcal{CS}_{2,4}^1 \ldots \mathcal{CS}_{2,n}^1$ where state of first spin acts as control. In order to describe the action of this operation, let us reconsider the set of bases vectors of the control spin, ($|k\rangle_n$, $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$) in n-dimensional Hilbert space, whenever first qu$n$it is in state $|k\rangle_n$, states of second and the $(k+2)^{th}$ qudits get swapped. The resulting state is of the form,

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{N}(&a_1'|0\rangle_n \otimes |\Psi_1\rangle_d \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle_d \otimes \ldots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle_d \\ &+ a_2'|1\rangle_n \otimes |\Psi_2\rangle_d \otimes |\Psi_1\rangle_d \otimes \ldots \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle_d + \ldots \\ &+ a_n'|n-1\rangle_n \otimes |\Psi_n\rangle_d \otimes |\Psi_3\rangle_d \otimes \ldots \otimes |\Psi_1\rangle_d).\end{aligned} \tag{S.3}$$

This is then acted upon by a set of projection operators constructed using the referential state $|\chi\rangle_d$. Operator performing $n-2$ number of projections on qudits numbered 3 to n is given as, $I_{n\times n} \otimes I_{d\times d} \otimes \bigotimes_{k=3}^{n} (|\chi\rangle_d\langle\chi|_d)_k$, where k represents the qudit number. This helps to remove the global phases that may be occurring with the constituent states ($|\Psi\rangle_d$'s). The resulting state is given as,

$$\frac{1}{N}\sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(a_k\left(\prod_{(j\neq k, j=1)}^{n}\frac{\langle\chi|\Psi_j\rangle_d}{\sqrt{c_j}}\right)|k-1\rangle_n|\Psi_k\rangle_d\right)\bigotimes_{m=1}^{n-1}|\chi\rangle_d \tag{S.4}$$

Tracing out states of qudits numbered 3 to $n+1$, we are left with a $n \times d$-dimensional state. Also, shedding the global phases, the state in Eq. (S.4) is written in a simple manner,

$$\frac{1}{N}(a_1|0\rangle_n|\Psi_1\rangle_d + a_2|1\rangle_n|\psi_2\rangle_d + \ldots + a_n|n-1\rangle_n|\psi_n\rangle_d), \tag{S.5}$$

where $N = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{n}|a_i'|^2}$. In case of superposition of two qubits with weights $a_1 = a$ and $a_2 = b$, above equation is reduced to,

$$\frac{1}{N}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|0\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle\right), \tag{S.6}$$

which is the expected final state after superposing two single-qubits, the additional factor $\frac{1}{N} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2}{c_1|a|^2+c_2|b|^2}}$. Thus we reduce the existing three-qubit based protocol described in [3] to the present two-qubit based protocol described in the maintext. It is to be noted that the state in Eq. (S.6) has already taken care of the global phases of states ($|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$).

(B) Comparison between general two-qubit and three-qubit based protocols

In this section, we discuss the comparison between the present scheme and the previously proposed scheme in [3] to carry out the superposition of two single-qubit states. Present scheme (described in the maintext) for superposition can be obtained from the three-qubit based scheme as described in the encoding scheme (section (A)), giving rise to the superposed state,

$$\sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2}{2(c_1|a|^2+c_2|b|^2)}}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right). \tag{S.7}$$

The success probability in this case is given as $P_2 = \frac{c_1c_2}{2(c_1|a|^2+c_2|b|^2)}N_\psi^2$. Here N_ψ is the normalization factor for state $a|\psi_1\rangle + b|\psi_2\rangle$ (where $\sqrt{|a|^2+|b|^2} = 1$). Recalling the treatment in a three-qubit based protocol [3], the resultant state in that case is given as,

$$\sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2}{c_1+c_2}}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right). \tag{S.8}$$

The success probability in this case, $P_3 = \frac{c_1c_2}{c_1+c_2}N_\psi^2$. Comparing the success probabilities resulting from these two protocols, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} r_p = \frac{P_2}{P_3} &= \frac{c_1+c_2}{2(c_1|a|^2+c_2|b|^2)} \\ &= \frac{r_c+1}{2(1+|b|^2(r_c-1))}, \end{aligned} \tag{S.9}$$

where $r_c = \frac{c_2}{c_1} \in (0,\infty)$, $|a|^2, |b|^2 \in (0,1)$, and $r_p \in (0,\infty)$. Same value of success probabilities (P_2 and P_3) result, in case the overlaps, $c_1 = c_2$ or the superposition is obtained with equal weights, i.e. $|a|^2 = |b|^2$. Figure S.1 shows the variation r_p vs r_c at different values of $|b|^2$. It is interesting to note that our two-qubit based protocol outperforms the three-qubit based protocol (in terms of success probabilities) in the range $0.5 < |b|^2 < 1$ (when $0 < r_c < 1$) and in the range $0 < |b|^2 < 0.5$

(when $1 < r_c < \infty$). Some of the examples of pair of states following these criteria for weighted superposition are discussed in the maintext.



FIG. S.1. (Colour online) The variation of $r_p = P_2/P_3$ is shown with the ratio of overlaps, $r_c = c_2/c_1$ corresponding to different values of $|b|^2$. Different curves correspond to different values of $|b|^2$, that are specified on the right side of the plot.

(C) Special case

We re-consider the problem of superposition of two arbitrary single qubit states with known non-zero overlaps, $|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|^2 = c_1$ and $|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|^2 = c_2$ with the referential single-qubit state $|\chi\rangle$. Thus one can obtain the overlaps of the constituent states with $|\chi^\perp\rangle$ (single-qubit state orthogonal to $|\chi\rangle$). We have, $|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle|^2 = c_1^\perp = 1 - c_1$ and $|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle|^2 = c_2^\perp = 1 - c_2$. Let us begin with a three-qubit initial state, similar to the one given in Eq. (S.1),

$$(a|0\rangle + b|1\rangle) \otimes |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle. \tag{S.10}$$

This state is then acted upon by the same three-qubit controlled-swap operation as described in Section (A), such that the resulting state is,

$$a|0\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle + b|1\rangle \otimes |\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\psi_1\rangle. \tag{S.11}$$

Consider the action of the identity operator $U_1 = I \otimes I \otimes (|\chi\rangle\langle\chi| + |\chi^\perp\rangle\langle\chi^\perp|)$ on the three-qubit state given in Eq. (S.10). The resultant state is given as,

$$\begin{aligned}&[a\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + b\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle] \otimes |\chi\rangle \\ &+ \left[a\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle|0\rangle|\psi_1\rangle + b\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle|1\rangle|\psi_2\rangle\right] \otimes |\chi^\perp\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{S.12}$$

Another controlled unitary operation is implemented on the first qubit, where state of third qubit acts as control. Subject to the state of the third qubit ($|\chi\rangle$ or $|\chi^\perp\rangle$), the action of this controlled operation is described (on the first qubit) as,

$$\begin{aligned}U_{|\chi\rangle}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{N_1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{|\sqrt{c_1}}|1\rangle\right), \\ U_{|\chi\rangle}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{N_1}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2}}|1\rangle\right), \\ U_{|\chi^\perp\rangle}|0\rangle &\to \frac{1}{N_2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2^\perp}}|0\rangle + \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1^\perp}}|1\rangle\right), \\ U_{|\chi^\perp\rangle}|1\rangle &\to \frac{1}{N_2}\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{c_1^\perp}}|0\rangle - \frac{1}{\sqrt{c_2^\perp}}|1\rangle\right), \\ \text{where,} \frac{1}{N_1} &= \sqrt{\frac{c_1c_2}{c_1+c_2}} \text{ and } \frac{1}{N_2} = \sqrt{\frac{c_1^\perp c_2^\perp}{c_1^\perp + c_2^\perp}}.\end{aligned} \tag{S.13}$$

Eq. (S.12) thus leads to,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{a}{N_1}\left(\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c_2}}|0\rangle + \frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c_1}}|1\rangle\right)|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle \\ &+ \frac{b}{N_1}\left(\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c_1}}|0\rangle - \frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c_2}}|1\rangle\right)|\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\chi\rangle \\ &+ \frac{a}{N_2}\left(\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c_2^\perp}}|0\rangle + \frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c_1^\perp}}|1\rangle\right)|\psi_1\rangle \otimes |\chi^\perp\rangle \\ &+ \frac{b}{N_2}\left(\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c_1^\perp}}|0\rangle - \frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c_2^\perp}}|1\rangle\right)|\psi_2\rangle \otimes |\chi^\perp\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{S.14}$$

Application of the projection operator, $|0\rangle\langle 0| \otimes I_{2\times 2} \otimes I_{2\times 2}$ then leads to,

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{N_1}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \otimes |\chi\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{N_2}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \otimes |\chi^\perp\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{S.15}$$

Thus we obtain the weighted superpositions of single-qubit states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$. If state of second qubit here is $|\chi\rangle$, the superposed state,

$$|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle = \frac{N_\psi^{(1)}}{N_1}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \tag{S.16}$$

is obtained with a success probability, $P^{(1)} = (N_\psi^{(1)})^2 \frac{c_1c_2}{c_1+c_2}$. While corresponding to second-qubit state $|\chi^\perp\rangle$, the superposed state,

$$|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle = \frac{N_\psi^{(2)}}{N_2}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \tag{S.17}$$

is resulted with a success probability, $P^{(2)} = (N_\psi^{(2)})^2 \frac{c_1^\perp c_2^\perp}{c_1^\perp + c_2^\perp}$. $N_\psi^{(1)}$ and $N_\psi^{(2)}$ are the normalization factors of the first qubit state when states of the second qubit are $|\chi\rangle$ and $|\chi^\perp\rangle$ respectively in Eq. (S.15). States given in Eqs. (S.16) and (S.17) are weighted superpositions of the same constituent states $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$. But they may be different because of their possibly different relative phases. The situation of our interest arises when $|\Psi^{(1)}\rangle$ varies from $|\Psi^{(2)}\rangle$ only upto a global case. Following are few special cases discussing such scenarios.



FIG. S.2. (Colour online) Bloch sphere representation of $|\psi_1\rangle$, $|\psi_2\rangle$, and $|\chi\rangle$ shown in red, blue and black points respectively.

Both the states belong to same longitudinal plane on the Bloch sphere

Assume now that both $|\psi_1\rangle$ and $|\psi_2\rangle$ lie in the same longitudinal plane on the Bloch sphere as shown in Fig. S.2. More explicitly, for $\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_j\rangle}{|\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_j\rangle|} = e^{\iota\phi}\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_j\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_j\rangle|}$, Eq. (S.15) takes the form,

$$\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right)\otimes\left(\frac{1}{N_1}|\chi\rangle + \frac{e^{\iota\phi}}{N_2}|\chi^\perp\rangle\right). \tag{S.18}$$

Tracing out the second qubit, we obtain,

$$\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_1^2}+\frac{1}{N_2^2}}\,N_\psi\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle|}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{|\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle|}|\psi_2\rangle\right), \tag{S.19}$$

which is the desired superposed state. This superposed state is obtained with success probability, $P^{\text{tot}} = P + P^\perp$, where $P = \left(\frac{N_\psi}{N_1}\right)^2$ and $P^\perp = \left(\frac{N_\psi}{N_2}\right)^2$. Putting another constraint, $c_1 = c_2^\perp$, we obtain $N_1 = N_2$ which gives rise to the desired superposed state with a success probability,

$$P^{\text{tot}} = 2N_\psi^2\frac{c_1c_2}{c_1+c_2} = 2P. \tag{S.20}$$

Both the states belong to same transverse plane on the Bloch sphere

In this case, we have $c_1 = c_2 = c$ (say), which implies $c_1^\perp = c_2^\perp = c^\perp$ (say). Eq. (S.14) thus leads to,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{N}|0\rangle\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_2\rangle\right)\otimes|\chi\rangle\\
&+\frac{1}{N}|1\rangle\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_1\rangle - b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_2\rangle\right)\otimes|\chi\rangle\\
&+\frac{1}{N}|0\rangle\left(a\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_2\rangle\right)\otimes|\chi^\perp\rangle\\
&+\frac{1}{N}|1\rangle\left(a\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_1\rangle - b\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_2\rangle\right)\otimes|\chi^\perp\rangle.
\end{aligned} \tag{S.21}$$

Further, assuming both the states occupying diametrically opposite locations on the Bloch sphere, the azimuthal angles of the two states may be considered as ϕ and $\pi+\phi$. Under the action of projection operator, $|0\rangle\langle 0|\otimes I\otimes|\chi\rangle\langle\chi|$ Eq. (S.21) gives rise to the desired superposed state,

$$\frac{1}{N_1}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c}}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \tag{S.22}$$

with a success probability, $P = (\frac{N_\psi}{N_1})^2$. Note that with the projection operator, $|1\rangle\langle 1|\otimes I\otimes|\chi^\perp\rangle\langle\chi^\perp|$ Eq. (S.21) gives rise to the desired superposed state,

$$\frac{1}{N_2}\left(a\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_2\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_1\rangle + b\frac{\langle\chi^\perp|\psi_1\rangle}{\sqrt{c^\perp}}|\psi_2\rangle\right) \tag{S.23}$$

with a success probability, $P^\perp = (\frac{N_\psi}{N_2})^2$. The total success probability obtained in above two instances,

$$P^{\text{tot}} = P + P^\perp = N_\psi^2\left(\frac{1}{N_1^2}+\frac{1}{N_2^2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}N_\psi^2 \tag{S.24}$$

Thus for arbitrary orthogonal input states, $P^{\text{tot}} = 1/2$.